ATTENDING EVALUATION BY HOUSEOFFICER



Subject Name

Status
Employer
Program
Rotation
Evaluation Dates

Evaluated by: Evaluator Name

Status Employer Program

AVAILABILITY

1 Was prompt

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

2 Adhered to rounds and consult schedules

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

3 Encouraged active housestaff participation

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

TEACHING

4 Stated Goals and Objectives clearly at beginning of rotation

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0		•

5 Asked questions in a non-threatening way

1 =	- Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5

6 Used bedside teaching to demonstrate history-taking and physical exam skills

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

7 Emphasized problem-solving (thought processes leading to decisions)

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0		0		0

8 Stimulated team members to read, research, and review pertinent topics

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

9 Provided special help as needed to team members

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

PATIENT CARE AND PROFESSIONALISM

10 Displayed sensitive, caring, respectful attitude toward patients

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0		0		0

11 Established rapport with team members

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0		0

New Innovations RMS Evaluations

12 Showed respect for residents/interns

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0		0

13 Served as a role model

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

14 Was enthusiastic and stimulating

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

15 Recognized own limitations; was appropriately self-critical

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

16 Encouraged housestaff to bring up problems

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE

17 Demonstrates broad knowledge of medicine

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0		0		0

New Innovations RMS Evaluations

18 Was up to date

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

19 Identified important elements in case analysis

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

20 Used relevant medical/scientific literature in supporting clinical advice

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

PRACTICE-BASED LEARNING AND IMPROVEMENT

21 Explicitly encouraged further learning

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

22 Motivated housestaff to self-learn

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

23 Evaluated housestaffs ability to analyze or synthesize knowledge

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

SYSTEMS-BASED PRACTICE

24 Reviewed expectations of each team member at beginning of rotation

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0		0

25 Provided useful feedback including constructive criticism to team members

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

26 Balanced service responsibilities and teaching functions

1 = Unsatisfactory	2	3 = Satisfactory	4	5 = Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 27 Would you recommend that this faculty member continue to serve as an attending physician for the training program
- Yes
- No
- 28 To further enhance professional development, would you recommend that this faculty member receive formal training in teaching and faculty education
- Yes
- No
- 29 Additional comments about the Faculty

Overall Comment